P.R. No. 1254/06
Presented by Shri Anniyakant Tiwari
dated 12.5.06

(1). (3)

IN THE HON"BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR Single Bench

M.C.C. 353/2006 SB.
in W.P.S. 4692\2006 (SB) 4692/2006

(Application for restoration of petition modifying the order dt. 8-05-2006)

PETITIONER : Ram Kumar Jaiswal S\o Shri Sudeshi Ram ,
aged about 43 yrs.Sub-Engineer,P.W.D. Sub-Dn
Bemetara R\o Bemetara Distt. Durg (C.G.)

V/S

RESPONDENTS: 1.State Of ChhattisgarhThrough Secretary
G.A.D. Department, D.K.S. Bhavan , Raipur (C.G.)
Earlier State Of M.P. Through Secretary,
G.A,.D. Department, Secretariate, Bhopal (MP)
2. Chief Engineer, P.W.D. Raipur (C.G.)
Earlier Satpura Bhavan, Bhopal (MP)

3. Executive Engineer, P.W.D.Division Durg,
Distt. Durg(C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
12/5/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

APPLICATION FOR RESTORATION OF PETITION MODIFYING THE ORDER DT. 8-05-2006

The above named petiti...

(21)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ~~M.C. cnto. 253~~ M.C. C. No. 353/2006 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	23.08.2006 None appears for the petitioner. Perused records. On 10.7.2006 the Registry was directed to verify as to whether on the date of hearing i.e. 8.5.2006 Vakalatnama of Shri Yashwant Tiwari, Amiyakant Tiwari, Advocate on behalf of the petitioner was on record or not. On enquiry, it has been reported that on the relevant date i.e. 8.5.2006, the above named advocates were not on record and accordingly their names were not shown in the cause list. The reasons stated in the application for restoration of petition states that the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner could not appear in the matter because their names were not shown in the cause list. The other advocates namely Shri A.K. Sharma, B.P. Sharma, Ajaya Mishra, P.S. Thakur, who had filed Vakalatnama earlier, was shown in the cause list. Thus, no reasonable cause has been shown for allowing this application. Accordingly, this application is rejected for want of sufficient reasons. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Bablu